

Week 8

Solutions to exercises on first order semantics

Instructor: Matteo de Ceglie

14 May 2020

1. Consider the following formulas:

- a) $\forall x[P(x)] \leftrightarrow \neg\exists x[\neg P(x)]$;
- b) $\exists y[\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, y)]]$;
- c) $\neg\exists x[P(x)] \leftrightarrow \forall x[P(x)]$.

2. Which one is a satisfiable, unsatisfiable and valid?

- a) To evaluate the first formula, the best course of action is to apply the logical consequence definition given in week 8. This means doing the following:
 - $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x[P(x)] \leftrightarrow \neg\exists x[\neg P(x)]$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x[P(x)]$ AND $\mathcal{M} \models \neg\exists x[\neg P(x)]$, OR $\mathcal{M} \not\models \forall x[P(x)]$ AND $\mathcal{M} \not\models \neg\exists x[\neg P(x)]$
 - but from the definition we know that $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x[P(x)]$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} \models \neg\exists x[\neg P(x)]$, so there is no way that there exists a model \mathcal{M} that satisfies $\forall x[P(x)]$ and not $\neg\exists x[\neg P(x)]$.
 - Thus, this formula is valid (i.e. satisfied by all models).
- b) The evaluation of this formula is easier, you just need to find a model that satisfies it and another model that doesn't. This is satisfiable.
- c) Finally, this last formula is unsatisfiable (to see it, just apply the same reasoning of formula (a), but this time you reach the paradoxical conclusion that a model satisfies the first half if and only if there is something that is not P , but it should satisfy also the second part, in which it is stated that everything is P).

3. There are entailments between these formulas?

- (a) is entailed by both (b) and (c), since a valid formula is entailed by everything (every model satisfies it, so every model that satisfies another formula also satisfy it).
- Trivially, (b) is entailed by (c), since every model that satisfies (c) also satisfies (b). This is because it is not possible to find a model that satisfies (c) that does not satisfy (b) (trivially because it is not possible to find a model that does satisfy (c)).